



\$~32

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA 252/2024

M/S RUCHI SOYA INDUSTRIES PVT LTD NOW KNOWN AS
M/S PATANJALI FOODS LTDAppellant

Through: Mr. Vansmani Tripathi, Advocate

versus

M/S MADAN EXIM PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

20.08.2024

1. As per speed post service report qua R-2, notice has been received back with the remarks “refused to accept, hence, returned”.
2. Consequently, R-2 is deemed to have been duly served.
3. Notices issued to respondent No.1 on its first and second addresses have been received back unserved with the report “insufficient address” & “Addressee left” respectively.
4. On furnishing fresh/correct address and taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.1, by all permissible modes including electronic mode, returnable on 04.12.2024.

REGISTRAR

AUGUST 20, 2024

vk