



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS (OS) 191/2020

I.A. 6086/2020 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC)

SHARAD KUMAR RASTOGI

.....Plaintiff

Represented by: Mr.Karan Jain, Advocate.

versus

KAUSHAL RASTOGI & ORS

..... Defendants

Represented by: Mr.Deepatakirti Verma, Advocate for
defendant No.1.

Ms.Kaveri Vats and Mr.Utkarsh
Sharma, Advocates for defendant
No.3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

% **23.09.2020**

The hearing has been conducted through Video Conferencing.

1. Learned counsel for defendant Nos.1 and 2 enters appearance. He states that he had filed the written statement to the suit, reply affidavit to the application along with counter claim and an application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC and the documents along with the application seeking condonation of delay. However, there are certain objections in the same. He will remove the objections and file the written statement, applications, reply affidavit and counter claim within one week.
2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that from the written statement and documents served on the plaintiff, certain pages are missing.
3. Learned counsel for the defendant Nos.1 and 2 will mail the complete



set of written statement, reply affidavit, applications and counter claim along with the documents to the learned counsel for the plaintiff within two days.

4. Learned counsel for the defendant No.3, who enters appearance, states that she has been recently engaged in the matter and seeks time to file vakalatnama and the written statement with an application seeking condonation of delay.

5. List the suit and application on 20th January, 2021.

6. Interim order to continue.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

SEPTEMBER 23, 2020

‘vn’