



\$~1

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(COMM) 178/2018
IFCI FACTORS LIMITED

..... Plaintiff

Through: None.

versus

MAXX MOBLINK PVT. LTD. & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Rajat Srivastava & Mr.
Dipanshu Bhargava, Advs. for D-
2 &3

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

21.08.2024

%

**I.A. 7890/2023 (Application u/S. 151 CPC filed by
plaintiff for substitution of AR)**

1. On the last date of hearing (06/05/2024), learned counsel for plaintiff has stated that the present IA may have become infructuous as the name of company has changed and new AR is required to be substituted on behalf of plaintiff.
2. As per office note, vakalatnama has not been filed on behalf of the plaintiff. However, none is present on behalf of the plaintiff.
3. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **25th October, 2024.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

1. At this stage, Mr. Raghav Awasthi, Advocate for the



plaintiff has appeared. He has been apprised about the order and next date of hearing.

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
AUGUST 21, 2024/nk
Click here to check corrigendum, if any