



\$~20

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 8254/2022 & CM APPL. 24884/2022 -Stay.

UNION OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Ashu Khandelwal, Mr. Varun
Agarwal, Advs. for Mr. Ravi Prakash, CGSC.

versus

NARENDRA KUMAR BAJPAI & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. S.Sunil, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

10.04.2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner is stated to be held up before another Bench.
2. From the record, we find that despite repeated opportunities the petitioner has neither filed any rejoinder nor filed it's written submissions.
3. In these circumstances, we have no other option except to defer hearing by granting six weeks' time to the petitioner to file rejoinder as also written submissions.
4. We, however, make it clear that since this Court has not stayed the operation of the impugned order, the petitioner will, subject to outcome of the present petition, implement the same so that the respondent who is stated to be already over 90 years of age is able to



get the benefits of the impugned order without any further delay.

5. List on 10.09.2024.

REKHA PALLI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

APRIL 10, 2024/al