



\$~88

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4613/2025**

**SANJAY GUPTA**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinod Dahiya and Ms. Vandna  
Dahiya, Advs.

versus

**DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY** .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhil Mittal, ASC with Ms.  
Navita Gupta, Adv. for DDA.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**09.04.2025**

**CM APPL. 21354/2025 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 4613/2025**

3. The present petition has been filed seeking following reliefs:

- “1. Allow and Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, or direction for setting aside the order of cancellation of Plot No. 748, Block-C, Pocket-5, Sector-34, Rohini by respondent vide letter No.F16 (13691)2012/LAB/ROHINI/1415 dated 14.01.2022 issued by the respondent and;
2. Allow and Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, for execution of the conveyance deed with respect to Plot No. 748, Block-C, Pocket-5, Sector-34, Rohini in favor of the Petitioner by the respondent and;
3. Award the costs of this writ petition in favor of the Petitioner and against the respondent.”



4. Mr. Vinod Dahiya, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that he was allotted a 60 sq. mtrs. plot in Rohini under the Rohini Residential Scheme, 1981.
5. He submits that the entire payment has been made by the petitioner in the year 2020 and all other formalities were also complied with. However, the conveyance deed has not been executed in favour of the petitioner on the ground that the payment has been made from the account of a third party.
6. He submits that the payment was made by the sister-in-law of the petitioner from her account and the same cannot be the reason for not executing the conveyance deed in favour of the petitioner when there is no dispute between the petitioner and his sister-in-law.
7. In view of the above, issue notice.
8. Mr. Akhil Mittal, the learned Additional Standing Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/DDA.
9. Let counter-affidavit be filed within a period of three weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.
10. Re-notify on 28.05.2025.

**APRIL 9, 2025**

aj

**VIKAS MAHAJAN, J**