



\$~12

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 332/2025

FASHNEAR TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohan Krishna Seth,
Mr. Ritwik Marwaha, Advocates

versus

JOHN DOE/S AND ORS

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi
Dutta, Advocates for D-2.

Mr. Varnik Kundaliya (VC),
Mr. Chandrashekhar Chakalabbi,
Advocates for D-9.

Mr. Vijender Pal Singh (VC),
Advocate for D-12.

Mr. Mayank Mikhail Mukherjee,
Mr. Ujjwal Singh (VC),
Advocates for D-14.

Mr. Parth Rishik (VC), Advocate
for D-15/RJIL

Mr. Rishi Kapoor, Mr. Ashish
Gupta (VC), Advocates for
Vodafone

Mr. Thejesh Rajendran,
Ms. Charu Sharma, Advocates
for D-18

Mr. Gaurav Barathi, SPC,
Mr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, GP
for D-19 & 20 / UOI

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI



%

I.A. No. 20619/2025 on behalf of defendant no. 2 for condonation of delay of 89 days in filing defendant no. 2's written statement.

1. Issue notice. Notice accepted by Id. Counsel for the plaintiff. For the reasons stated in the application, application is allowed subject to cost of Rs. 1000/-, to be deposited with Delhi High Court Bar Clerk's Association, Delhi High Court, within three weeks failing which further cost shall be imposed. Delay stands condoned. Written statement of defendant no. 2 is taken on record. Replication be filed within the period permissible, as worked out from today. IA stands **disposed off**. Defendant no. 2 is directed to re-file its written statement whereafter Registry is directed to place the same on record.

I.A. No. 21777/2025 on behalf of Meta Platforms. Inc., defendant no. 18, seeking condonation of delay of 55 days in filing its written statement and reply to plaintiff's interim application.

2. Heard on the application. Id. Counsel for the applicant / defendant submits that directions of the Hon'ble Court have already been complied with. Id. Counsel for the plaintiff has highlighted that there is a delay in re-filing as well in which regard application has not been moved. I have checked up the e-filing log.

3. In view of the minor delay in re-filing, on the oral request of Id. Counsel for the defendant, the same is condoned alongwith delay in initial filing of the written statement subject to a cost of Rs. 2000/-, to be deposited with Bharat Ke Veer Fund, (online portal), within three weeks failing which further cost shall be



imposed. Delay stands condoned. Written statement of defendant no. 18 is taken on record. Replication be filed within the period permissible, as worked out from today. IA stands **disposed off**. Defendant no. 18 is directed to re-file its written statement whereafter Registry is directed to place the same on record.

IA No. 17003/2025 for deletion of defendant no. 15.

4. Reply on behalf of the plaintiff has not been filed. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff prays for some more time to file the reply. Let the same be filed by way of a final opportunity within three weeks failing which right to file reply shall stand closed without any further orders. Put up for completion of pleadings.

CS(COMM) 319/2025 & I.A. No. 9116/2025 (u/o-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC).

5. Defendant no. 1 is a John Doe. Defendant nos. 2 to 6 are DNR's. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that DNR's have complied with the directions of the Hon'ble Court. Compliance of defendant no. 2 is recorded in its written statement.

6. Defendant nos. 7 to 13 are various banks. Defendant no. 7 Axis Bank, Defendant no. 8 Fino Payments Bank, Defendant no. 10 YES Bank, Defendant no. 11 HDFC, Defendant no. 12 Indian Overseas Bank and defendant no. 13 is Union Bank of India are yet to file their compliance affidavits. They are again directed to do so within three weeks failing which cost shall be imposed.

7. Defendant no. 14 is NPCI which has filed its affidavit in regard to the compliance of the Court directions.

8. Compliance affidavits of defendant nos. 15 and 16 (Telecom Service Providers) are already on record.



9. Defendant no. 17 is  Telecom Service Provider which also has complied with the directions of the Hon'ble Court, as per Id. Counsel for the plaintiff.

10. Defendant no. 18 is Meta. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 18 submits that they have already complied with the directions of the Hon'ble Court and their written statement records their compliance.

11. Compliance on behalf of defendant nos. 19 and 20 is also on record.

12. Matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court for 22.09.2025. Be placed before the Hon'ble Court on the date already fixed i.e. 22.09.2025, for further directions.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025/sk