



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 332/2025

FASHNEAR TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rohan Krishna Seth,
Mr. Ritwik Marwaha, Advocates

versus

JOHN DOE/S AND ORS

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Yogesh Singh, Mr. Arjun
Mookerjee, Advocates for D-2
Mr. Sparsh Agarwal,
Ms. Ruchika, Advocates for
D- 10.
Mr. Vijender Pal Singh,
Advocate for D- 12.
Mr. Deepanjali Choudhary
Advocate for D- 13.
Mr. Mayank Mikhail Mukherjee
Advocates for D-14.
Mr. Parth Rishik, Advocate for
D-14.
Mr. Aashish Gupta, Advocate for
D-15.
Mr. Thejesh Rajendran,
Mr. Charu Sharma Advocates for
D-18.
Mr. Gaurav Barathi, Advocate
for D-19 & 20.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI

ORDER

%

21.07.2025

IA No. 17003/2025 for deletion of defendant no. 15.

1. Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff accepts notice and prays for some time to file a response. Let the reply be filed within four



weeks, with an advance copy of the same to the opposite side. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed thereafter within two weeks of receiving an advance copy of the reply.

CS(COMM) 319/2025 & I.A. No. 9116/2025 (u/o-XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC).

2. Defendant no. 1 is a John Doe. Defendant nos. 2 to 20 have been served.

3. Defendant nos. 2 to 6 are DNRs. Appearance has been put in on behalf of defendant no. 2 GoDaddy. Ld. Counsel submits that directions of the Hon'ble Court have been complied with and compliance email has been sent to Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant nos. 3 to 6.

4. Defendant nos. 7 to 13 are Banks. Appearance has been put in on behalf of defendant no. 10 Yes Bank. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 10 submits that compliance has been carried out of the Hon'ble Court's directions. Ld. Counsel further submits that a compliance mail shall be shortly sent to Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff and a compliance affidavit shall also be filed.

5. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 12 submits that directions of the Hon'ble Court have been complied with. Ld. Counsel further submits that compliance affidavit shall be filed within two weeks. He is also directed to send the compliance email to Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

6. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 13 Union Bank of India submits that some more time may be granted to comply with the Hon'ble Court's directions. Let the directions be now complied within one week and compliance affidavit be filed within a further period of one week, under intimation to Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.



7. Appearance has been put in on behalf of D-14 NPCI. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.14 submits that instructions are awaited from NPCI to file an appropriate response.

8. Defendant nos. 15 to 17 are Telecom Service providers. Appearance has been put in D-15 Reliance Jio and D-16 Vodafone. Ld. Counsels for defendant nos. 15 and 16 submit that compliance affidavits have been filed. The same are on record. Defendant no. 17 which is *Airtel* has not put in appearance although ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that they have received a compliance email from defendant no. 17.

9. Appearance has been put in on behalf of D-18 Meta Platform. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 18 submits that directions of the Hon'ble Court have been complied with. They have also filed the written statement which however is yet not on record but which also records the compliance on behalf of defendant no. 18, as informed to the Court.

10. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant nos. 19 & 20 i.e. UOI.

11. Put up for completion of pleadings on 16.09.2025.

Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JULY 21, 2025/sk