



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RFA(COMM) 134/2024 CM APPL. 21920-923/2024**
M/S KRISHNA HARDWARE APPELLANT
Through: Mr.Suneel Kumar Yadav and
Mr.Prashant Kumar, Advocates.

versus

M/S PRAKASH PIPES LIMITED RESPONDENT
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **15.04.2024**

1. The present appeal and the accompanying applications are affirmed by one Mr.Aditya Kumar Shukla, who claims to be *Pairokar* of the appellant.
2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant submits that Mr.Shukla is a son of Mr.Krishna Prasad Shukla, who is sole proprietor of M/s.Krishna Hardware and appellant in the present case. The delay in re-filing is also explained on the basis that Mr.Aditya Kumar Shukla was travelling and was not available to sign the appeal.
3. There is no document on record, establishing that Mr.Aditya Kumar Shukla is the constituted attorney authorized to file the present appeal or to pursue these proceedings. The *Vakalatnama* of the learned counsel is also signed by Mr.Aditya Kumar Shukla. In absence of any authority in law in favour of Mr.Shukla to institute the present appeal, the same cannot be accepted.



4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant seeks an adjournment to place documents on record.
5. At his request, list on 24.05.2024.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

APRIL 15, 2024

M

Click here to check corrigendum, if any