



\$~71& 72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 2331/2024**
M/S APEX INTERNATIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS AND ANR
.....Petitioner
Through: Ms. Karuna Chhatwal, Advocate.
versus

NAMITA BAJAJ AND OTHERSRespondent
Through: Mr. Nitin Mittal, Advocate.

72

+ **CM(M) 2334/2024 & CM APPL. 21616/2024 & CM APPL. 76402/2024 & CM APPL. 37695/2025**
M/S APEX INTERNATIONAL CONSTRUCTIONS AND ANR
.....Petitioner
Through: Ms. Karuna Chhatwal, Advocate.
versus
NAMITA BAJAJ AND OTHERSRespondent
Through: Mr. Nitin Mittal, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **15.07.2025**

1. At the very outset, there is a request for adjournment from the side of petitioner as Mr. Joginder Sukhija, learned arguing counsel is down with fever and unable to come today.
2. Learned counsel for respondent submits that, though, some alternate arrangements should have been made but since personal health issue has been cited, he would have no objection if the matter is adjourned.
3. However, at the same time, he requests for a very short date.
4. List on 29.07.2025.
5. Be shown in supplementary list

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 15, 2025/sw/JS