



\$~1 (Original Side)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 287/2024**

SHRI BISHAN SINGHPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Mritunjay K. Singh, Advocate.

versus

SMT. RAM KAUR & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, Advocate
for D-13 to 23.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **23.04.2025**

I.A. 10129/2025 (for rectification/correction of order dated 14.05.2025)

1. This application has been filed by the plaintiff for rectification/correction of an order dated 14.05.2024 in the captioned suit.

The said order reads as follows:

"1. Dr. N.C Sharma, learned counsel, enters appearance on behalf of defendant No. 1 to 12. Mr. Abhishek Kaushik, learned counsel, enters appearance on behalf of defendant No. 13 to 23.

2. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 27.05.2024, the date already fixed for completion of pleadings, admission/denial of documents and marking of exhibits.

3. Learned counsel for the defendants contend that they are in possession of the suit property. Without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties in the suit, they are directed to maintain status quo as to title and possession of the suit property until the next date of hearing.

4. Replies to the application be filed within four weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

5. List before the Court on 01.10.2024."



2. By way of this application, the plaintiff contends that the first sentence of paragraph 3 of the aforesaid order may be deleted, as it creates confusion as to who was in the possession of the property on the said date.

3. This order was passed on the first date of hearing on which the defendants entered appearance, and prior to the filing of the pleadings or recording of evidence. It was only an *ad-interim* order in the injunction application. The first sentence of paragraph 3 of the order records the contention of the defendant. The statement was recorded while directing that *status quo* be maintained, precisely because there was a dispute as to the possession of the property.

4. Learned counsel for the parties appearing before me are agreeable to a clarification that the first sentence of paragraph 3 of the order dated 14.05.2025 is a recording of the defendants' contention, no more and no less.

5. No further clarification or rectification of the order is required. The application is disposed of.

CS(OS) 287/2024 & I.A. 7976/2024 and I.A. 29527/2024

List before the Roster Bench on 12.08.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

APRIL 23, 2025

'Bhupi/kb'/'