



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 284/2022**

SURESH CHANDER GANDHI & ORS.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Rishika Arora, Adv.

versus

CHAND MALHOTRA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Attin S. Rastogi, Mr. Adil V.,
Ms. Saloni and Ms. Asmi, Adv. for
D-11
Mr. Mayank Tomar and Mr. Prateek
Singh, Adv. for D-2
D-7 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

12.01.2026

O.A. 208/2025

1. The present chamber appeal under Chapter II Rule 5 of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 has been filed by the Applicant/Defendant No.11 challenging the Order dated 28.10.2025 passed by the learned Joint Registrar.
2. Learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs states that she has not received a copy of the present appeal.
3. The challenge in the present appeal is to the Order dated 28.10.2025 closing the rights of the Defendant No.11 to file their amended written statement.



4. This Court *vide* Order dated 12.08.2025 had allowed I.A. 15754/2023 under Order VI Rule 17 of CPC, 1908 filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs wherein the Plaintiffs were permitted to amend the plaint.
5. The time period stipulated in Paragraph 4 of Chapter VII of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 is 30 days, however, the maximum number of days allowed for filing the written statement is 90 days. It is stated that even though the period of 30 days was over, written statement have been filed before the expiry of 90 days. It is stated that the Order dated 28.10.2025 had been passed before the maximum period permissible under the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018, expired.
6. Reply is permitted to be filed by learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs within two weeks.
7. Learned Counsel for the Defendant No.11 is directed to serve a copy of the present appeal to learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs. Rejoinder thereto, if any, within a week thereafter.
8. List on 17.02.2026.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

JANUARY 12, 2026

Prateek