



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 284/2022**

SURESH CHANDER GANDHI & ORS.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Rishika Arora,
Advocate.

versus

CHAND MALHOTRA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Manish Srivastava,
Mr. Hardik Vashist and
Mr. Moksh Arora,
Advocates for D1.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Luthra,
Advocate for D3 to D6
and D8 to D10.
Ms. Upasana Pahuja, Ms.
Mehak Chaudhary and Mr.
Pranav Sahni, Advocates
for D7 along with D7 in
person.
Ms. Saloni Kumar and Ms.
Asmi Verma, Advocates
for D11.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEEPAK
DABAS (DHJS)**

ORDER

08.01.2026

%

**NOTE: DUE TO TECHNICAL FAULT VIDEO
CONFERENCING (VC) IS NOT WORKING TODAY.**

**IA No. 27958/2025 filed by defendant no.2 u/s 151 CPC
seeking condonation of delay in re-filing the written
statement.**



1. Counsel for plaintiffs seeks and is granted time of two weeks for filing reply qua captioned IA.
2. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

IA No. 26860/2025 filed by defendant no.7 u/s 151 CPC for taking off the record the documents filed by defendant no.6 and 8 vide index dated 17.08.2024.

1. Counsel for defendant no. 7/applicant seeks and is granted time of two weeks for filing rejoinder.
2. Counsel for plaintiffs submits that copy of captioned IA has not been supplied to her till date.
3. Counsel for defendant no. 7/applicant undertakes to supply copy of captioned IA to counsel for plaintiffs within two days from today.
4. Plaintiffs shall file reply qua captioned IA, if any, within three weeks from today.
5. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

IA No. 40764/2024 filed by defendants no. 6 and 8 u/O VI Rule 17 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking to amend the written statement.

1. Counsel for defendant no. 7 submits that NOC has been filed by defendant no. 4 through power of attorney, however, no such power of attorney is available on record.
2. Counsel for defendant no. 7 further submits that only photocopy of NOC has been filed by defendant no. 10.
3. Counsel for defendant no. 4 and defendant no. 10 undertakes to do the needful within two weeks from



today.

4. Counsel for defendant no. 7 further submits that in Para 3 and para 8 of order dated 28.10.2025 it has been inadvertently mentioned as “*defendant no. 6 to 8*” and instead of defendant no. 6 to 8, defendant no. 6 and 8 should have been mentioned/stated.
5. It is clarified that in para 3 and para 8 of order dated 28.10.2025, D6 to D8 be read as D6 and D8.
6. Re-notify on 11.02.2026 for further proceedings as per law.

**DEEPAK DABAS (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 8, 2026/anj