



\$~48 & 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2342/2025

PRITI SARAF

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Mahima Malhotra, Advocate.

versus

UMESH SARAF

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kamakshi Gupta and Mr.
Rishabh Dahiya, Advocates.

(49)

+ CRL.REV.P. 1246/2024 & CRL.M.A. 32564/2024

UMESH S

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kamakshi Gupta and Mr.
Rishabh Dahiya, Advocates.

versus

PRITI S

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Mahima Malhotra, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

23.12.2025

%

1. Reply has not been filed in CRL.M.C. 2342/2025 on behalf of the respondent. However, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent states that she has filed a revision petition i.e., CRL.REV.P. 1246/2024 and the same be considered as her reply in CRL.M.C. 2342/2025.



Accordingly, opportunity to file a reply stands closed in CRL.M.C. 2342/2025.

2. List for arguments on 28.01.2026.
3. In the meantime, both the parties are directed to file their updated written submissions, not running into more than four pages and relevant case laws that they want to rely upon. Let the same be filed, at least two days prior to the next date of hearing.
4. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/vc/ap