



\$~10

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(COMM) 294/2024**  
**ASTRAL LTD.**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Prashansa Singh, Mr.  
Rohit Pradhan & Mr. Sachin  
Gupta, Advs.

versus

M/S ASTRAL MARKETING SYNDICATE & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Anchit Bhandari, Adv.  
for D-1  
(M- 8619719601)

**CORAM:**  
**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR**  
**(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**  
**10.07.2024**

%

The pleadings qua the defendant no. 1 are already complete.

The service of the defendant no. 2 is awaited.

List the matter for the completion of service of the defendant  
no. 2 on **05.09.2024**.

**IA No. 32772/2024 (u/o 5 Rule 20 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking  
substituted service on the defendant no. 2)**

The process server's report dated 11.06.2023 reveals that the  
defendant no. 2 could not be served having left its address. No  
further/alternate/fresh details are now available with the plaintiff.  
Hence the plaintiff has preferred this application. Keeping in view  
this record, I am satisfied that the defendant no. 2 could not be  
served, vide normal means. Accordingly the application is allowed.  
The defendant no. 2 be served, via publication in the local



newspaper “*Dainik Bhaskar*” subject to the filing of PF and deposit of publication charges.



**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JULY 10, 2024/jr**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*