



\$~8 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

8.

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 122/2022**

SHRI HARI MOHAN PRAKASHAppellant
Through: Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh and Ms.
Subasri Jaganathan, Advocates.

versus

1. M/S JAGRAH PRAKASHAN LTD.Respondent
Through: Ms. Prakriti Sharma, Advocate.

9.

+ **CS(COMM) 719/2018, I.A. 13867/2018, I.A. 7131/2023, I.A. 3525/2025, I.A. 3526/2025 & I.A. 3941/2025**

MADAN MOHAN GUPTA & ORS.Plaintiffs
Through: Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh and Ms.
Subasri Jaganathan, Advocates for
Plaintiffs No.1 to 3.

versus

JAGRAN PRAKASHAN PUBLIC LTD. AND ANR.Defendants
Through: Mr. Dhruv Dewan and Mr. Yajur
Sharma, Advocates for D-1 and D-2.

10.

+ **C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 256/2022**

HARI MOHAN GUPTA, D-22, 74 BUNGLOWS, SOUTH T.T.
NAGAR, BHOPAL, M.P.Petitioner
Through: Mr. Kumar Dushyant Singh and Ms.
Subasri Jaganathan, Advocates

versus

JAGRAN PRAKASHAN LTD. 2, SARVODAYA NAGAR,



KANPUR, UP.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Prakriti Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

18.03.2025

CS(COMM) 719/2018 with I.A. 3525/2025 (u/O XXII Rule 3 of CPC, 1908), I.A. 3526/2025 (u/S 5 of the Limitation Act) and I.A. 3941/2025 (u/O XXII Rule 9(2) of CPC, 1908)

1. A consolidated reply is stated to have been filed on behalf of the defendant no.1.
 - 1.1. However, the same is not record.
 - 1.2. Counsel shall take steps to have the same placed on record.
 2. Counsel for the defendants submits that the defendant no.2 shall also adopt the same reply.
 3. Counsel for the plaintiffs seeks and is granted three (3) weeks' time to file rejoinder.
 4. List on 25th August, 2025.
- C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 122/2022 and C.O. (COMM.IPD-TM) 256/2022**
5. List on 25th August, 2025 along with CS(COMM) 719/2018.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 18, 2025

Vivek/-