



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 53/2019**

SANJEEV RANA & ORS

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Advocate for
respondent No.32

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **27.10.2022**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through
physical/hybrid mode.

CM APPL.17853/2019

This matter was passed over once and has been called again.

Today, the matter has been listed in terms of order dated
12.09.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Court for completion of service and
pleadings.

Vide order dated 02.03.2020, respondent Nos.10 to 21, 23 to
26, 30, 31, 41 to 43, 47 to 60, 62 to 75 and 78 to 80 stood deleted on a
application filed by the appellants.

On a perusal of order sheets, it transpires that respondent Nos.2
to 6, 8, 22, 27 to 29, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 to 40, 44 to 46, 61, 76, and 77
are being represented in these proceedings by their respective counsel

Page 1 of 2



whereas status of service of respondent Nos.1, 7, 9, 34 and 37 is not clear. Accordingly, Court notice, without filing process fee, be issued to respondent Nos.1, 7, 9, 34 and 37, by all permissible modes, returnable on 07.12.2022. In addition, Court notice be issued to unserved respondents through electronic mode. It may be indicated in the notice that reply, if any, be filed before the next date.

In the meanwhile, let reply, if any, be filed by the served respondents within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellants, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

REGISTRAR

OCTOBER 27, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2