



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 53/2019

SANJEEV RANA & ORS

..... Appellant

Through: None

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manisha, Advocate for
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for
respondent No.1
Respondent Nos.10 to 21, 23 to 26
30, 31, 41 to 43, 47 to 60, 62 to 75 &
78 to 80 were deleted vide order dated
02.03.2020

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **14.03.2023**

CM APPL.17853/2019

Despite the matter having been passed over once, there is no appearance on behalf of the appellants.

Respondent No.34 remains unserved for want of fresh address and process fee.

On appellants' furnishing fresh/correct address of respondent No.34 and taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.34, by all permissible modes, returnable on 21.07.2023.

Page 1 of 2



Respondent Nos.1, 3 to 9, 22, 27 to 29, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38 to 40, 44 to 46, 61, 76 & 77 have not filed the reply. Let reply, if any, be filed by them within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the appellants, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

In the meantime, appellants to also take necessary steps for bringing on record the proposed LRs of deceased respondent Nos.2 and 37.

Re-notify on 21.07.2023.

REGISTRAR

Later on Ms. Ishita Kadyan, Advocate for the appellants, Mr. Prateek Dahiya, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 6 and Ms. Anjali Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.38 appeared. They were apprised of the order passed today. Let counsel for 2 to 6 furnish details of proposed LRs of deceased R-2 to learned counsel for the appellant within four weeks in terms of order 07.12.2022.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 14, 2023

vk

Page 2 of 2