



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(OS) 35/2017
MRS DEEPA TALREJA

..... Plaintiff

Through Appearance not given.

versus

MRS TARA JOSHI & ORS

..... Defendant

Through Mr. Ankit Shah, Adv. for D-1 &2.
Ms. Shilpi Jain, Adv. for D-3.
Mr. Vivek R. Mohntey, Adv. for D-4.

CORAM:

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% 22.10.2018

CS(OS) 35/2017

It is pointed out that the documents filed by defendant no. 3 are available on record.

The affidavit of admission/denial already filed by the plaintiff and defendant Nos.3 & 4 while the right of defendant Nos.1 and 2 to file an affidavit of admission/denial already closed vide order dated 02.08.2018.

The AR of defendant No.3 has admitted two documents of the plaintiff which are marked as Ex.P1 and P2. Learned counsel for plaintiff has raised an objection qua mode of proof regarding above documents.

Defendant No.4 has admitted only one document of the plaintiff

Contd....2



-2-

which is marked as Ex. P1 (the document Ex. P1 is admitted by defendant No.3 as well as defendant No.4).

The plaintiff has filed three separate affidavits qua documents of defendant No.1 & 2, defendant No.3 and defendant No.4. In the affidavit, the plaintiff has denied all the documents filed by defendant Nos.1 & 2 and defendant No.3. While, in the affidavit qua defendant no.4, it is mentioned that there is no document filed by defendant No.4, so no admission/denial is required.

So, the admission/denial stands concluded.

Let the matter be put up before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on 26.11.2018.

SANJAY JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 22, 2018/pk