



\$~33

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

# **CNR No. DLHC010183672026**

CM APPL. 54238/2026

IN

+ W.P.(C) 5881/2026

ANITA JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sacchin Puri, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Ayush, Mr. Abhishek Singh and  
Mr. Devansh Wahi, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Vikas Chopra and Mr. Neeraj  
Kumar, Advocates for R/MCD.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER**

% **14.08.2026**

**CM APPL. 54238/2026**

1. This application has been filed seeking stay against any coercive action in respect of the petitioner's property bearing No. 8707/17 (Western Side) having approx. area measuring 440 Sq. Yrds. i.e. 367.84 Sq. Mtr. situated at Roshanara Mansion, Roshanara Road, Delhi-110007.
2. It is stated that, pursuant to the orders passed by this Court, personal hearing has been granted to the petitioner. The petitioner apprehends that the sealing order may be passed by the respondent/MCD and the same may be given effect to immediately.
3. It is not disputed that the petitioner has a statutory right of appeal against any sealing order that may be passed by the MCD.



4. Having heard the counsel for the parties, in my opinion, a reasonable time has to be given to the petitioner to invoke his statutory remedy of appeal against the sealing order.
5. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event a sealing order is passed by the respondent/MCD in respect of the petitioner's property, the same shall not be given effect for a period of fifteen (15) days in order for the petitioner to invoke the statutory remedy of appeal. However, it is made clear that the petitioner will not create any third-party rights in respect of the premises and/or occupy the same.
6. No observation has been made with regard to the merits of the case.
7. In view of the aforesaid, the present application as well as the writ petition stands disposed of.

**AMIT BANSAL, J**

**AUGUST 14, 2026**  
**ds**