



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 427/2026

AJAY SINGH SAINI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Pulkit Prakash, Advocate

versus

THE STATE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Gyanesh Saini, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

16.07.2026

CM APPL. 44262/2026 (corrections/modifications of orders)

1. Application under Sections 151/152 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) has been filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking correction of typographical error in the Order dated 28.04.2026.
2. It is submitted that in the impugned order, it has been recorded that the probate has been granted in favour of the Appellant when in fact, *vide* impugned judgment dated 26.11.2025, the probate sought by the Plaintiff/Appellant has been denied.
3. In view of the submissions made and considering the judgment and decree, the impugned order dated 28.04.2026 is hereby rectified to read that the Appeal has been preferred against the judgment and decree dated 26.11.2025 whereby the Petition of the Appellant/Plaintiff for probate has been dismissed.
4. The Application is accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 16, 2026

N