



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(COMM) 244/2021

KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIES COMMISSION Plaintiff

Through Ms. Eva Bishwal & Ms. Archita
Nigam, Advs.

Versus

KHADI DESIGN COUNCIL OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.... Defendant

Through Mr. Kunal, Mr. Manish Dubey, Mr.
Rajan Kumar Dwivedi, Mr. Manish
Tiwari & Ms. Ishita Tripathi, Advs.

CORAM:

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **04.01.2022**

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing, in terms of directions vide Circular No.896/RG/DHC/2021 dated 30.12.2021.

CS (COMM) 244/2021 & I.A. 6811/2021 (under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2, CPC) & I.A. 9213/2021 (under Order 39 Rule 2A r/w Section 151 of the CPC r/w Section 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Court Act, 1971 for non-compliance of the order dated 28th May, 2021)

The matter is listed for completion of pleadings and for marking of exhibits to the documents.

Learned counsel for defendants had already stated that separate reply to IA No.6811/2021 is not required to be filed by the defendants and that written statement filed by the defendants be read as reply to said IA.

Written statement and reply to IA No.9213/2021 of the defendant are already on record.

As per office note, replication, admission/denial affidavit of

Contd.....2



-2-

plaintiff are also on record. It is stated by learned counsel for plaintiff that rejoinder in the contempt IA has also been filed. The same is also on record. Thus, pleadings are complete.

It is stated that joint schedule of documents will be filed within two weeks due to the emergent situation caused by Covid-19 pandemic.

Since the date before the Hon'ble Court's is short and at request, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court for date already fixed there i.e. on 07.01.2022, for further directions.

SHARAD GUPTA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 04, 2022/ab