



\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

C.A.(COMM.IPD-PAT) 42/2022

ISHWAR SINGH KUNDU

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Deeksha Prakash, Adv.

Versus

**THE ASSISTANT CONTROLLER OF PATENTS AND
DESIGNS**

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Bharathi Raju, Senior
panel counsel for UOI
(9868895906)

CORAM:

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

27.04.2023

%

The appellant has filed certain written-articles in support of its case, vide diary no. 149381/23.

The counsel for the respondent states that she had filed the reply on 24.04.2023 vide diary no. 694501/23. The same is lying under objections. I have been informed that the registry has taken an objection with regard to the ten days delay occasioned in the filing of that reply. The counsel for the appellant has no objection if the same is condoned without any written application. The same thus stands condoned. The registry shall place that reply on record, if there are no further objections to it.

The appellant, in the meantime, shall file the rejoinder.



List the matter for completion of pleadings on
06.07.2023.

Order be given dasti as desired.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 27, 2023/PU