

\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 934/2018

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Hemant Dixit proxy for Ms. Reema
Khorana, Adv.

versus

M/S TRIGEN ELECTRONICS PVT. LTD.

..... Defendant

Through: None

CORAM:

**MS. TWINKLE WADHWA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER
% **13.02.2020**

The email regarding which 65 B was to be filed, was sent by counsel for plaintiff namely Ms. Reema Khorana from her computer to the defendant.

Hence 65 B affidavit should have been of the counsel under whose custody was the relevant computer through which it was sent and the print out is taken.

However 65 B affidavit filed on record is of an employee of the plaintiff company. The same is not in accordance with law. This was the third opportunity to file 65 B which is wrongly filed, hence cost of Rs. 2500/- is imposed to be deposited with DLSA before the next

date of hearing. Plaintiff is directed to file appropriate affidavit on record within a week.

Put up for further proceedings on 06.03.2020.

TWINKLE WADHWA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 13, 2020/NR