



\$~23 & 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 65/2018 CM APPL. 49528/2023**

SANJIV CHATURVEDI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Mr. K. Jatin Bhatt, Mr. Kshitij Singh and Mr. Sikander Raza, Advs.

versus

VINEET VANAYAK & ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharma, SPP-CBI with Mr. Alok Shahi, DSP/CBI along with Mr. Prakarsh Airan, Ms. Harpreet Kalsi, Mr. Ripudaman Sharma, Mr. Vashisht Rao, Mr. Ujjwal and Ms. Riya Sachdeva, Advs.

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 503/2024**

SANJIV CHATURVEDI

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

CPIO, CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S. Sharma, SPP-CBI with Mr. Alok Shahi, DSP/CBI along with Mr. Prakarsh Airan, Ms. Harpreet Kalsi, Mr. Ripudaman Sharma, Mr. Vashisht Rao, Mr. Ujjwal and Ms. Riya Sachdeva, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

1. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Counsel for the petitioner states that contempt jurisdiction can be exercised not only in disobedience of a specific order of the Court, but also, for not following the law laid down in previous decisions



of the Court.

2. In this regard, he relies on the decision of the Supreme Court in ***Priya Gupta v. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare***, (2013) 11 SCC 404.
3. These decisions would need to be assessed in context of the facts of this case.
4. Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Counsel for the petitioner seeks a short date and states that early hearing has already been allowed.
5. Mr. Anupam S. Sharma, SPP for CBI states that Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Counsel for the petitioner has sought adjournments time and again, and therefore, his request need not be countenanced.
6. Heavy board of this Court does not allow any proximate date. List on 11th September 2025.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 7, 2025/MK/kp