



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4880/2024 and CM APPL.19926/2024**

MANOJ MAVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rama Shankar, Adv.
versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Chetanya Singh, Adv. (through
v/c) and Mr. Chetan Sharma, Adv. for
MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

08.04.2024

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the notice dated 27.03.2024 and final attachment/sealing notice dated 28.03.2024 issued by the respondents qua the property No. E-48/5, Okhla Industrial Area, Phase-II, New Delhi-110020.
2. It is averred in the petition that the petitioner was never given any opportunity of being heard before the impugned attachment/sealing notice was issued by the respondents.
3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. He seeks some time to take instructions as to the basis of action sought to be taken against the petitioner. Let a reply be filed by the respondents/MCD within a period of 3 weeks, also placing on record the assessment order/s and the demand/s raised qua the property in question.
5. In the meantime, till the next date of hearing, the respondent shall not take any steps as regards attachment/sealing of the property in question.



6. List on 07.05.2024.

APRIL 8, 2024/cl

SACHIN DATTA, J