



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **RC.REV. 90/2024 & CM APPL. 19563/2024 (additional documents)**

KHAIRATI LAL SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND ORS
..... Petitioners
Through: Mr Ankur Mahindro, Adv.

versus

NIRMALA DEVI SINCE DECEASED THROUGH LRS AND ORS
..... Respondents
Through: None

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER
% **08.04.2024**
[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 19562/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

RC.REV. 90/2024 & CM APPL. 19561/2024 (stay)

2. Heard. By way of this petition under proviso to section 25B(8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, the tenants have assailed the dismissal of their application for leave to contest the proceedings under Section 14(1)(e) of the Delhi Rent Control Act. In the course of arguments today, learned counsel has taken me through record, especially at pdf 246-259 of the paperbook reflecting that the eviction petitioner Smt Nirmala Devi's ownership over the subject premises is prima facie not acceptable in law.



3. Subject to petitioners taking steps within one week, notice through all modes be issued to the respondents returnable on 30.07.2024.
4. Till next date, operation of the impugned eviction order shall remain stayed.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

APRIL 8, 2024/as

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)