

\$~24



* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1779/2019 & CRL.M.A. 7150/2019**

ANITA GARG

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prashant Mehta, Mr.
Varun Gupta, Ms. Simran
Wason & Mr. Ronak
Gupta, Advocates.

versus

STATE & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State.
Mr. Dinesh Garg,
Advocate for R-2 & 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

02.09.2024

%

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Respondent No.3 filed frivolous complaints against the petitioner to various forums.
2. He submits that the said complaints were rejected by an appropriate forum being SC & ST Commission, which itself means that the same were frivolous and had been given only for the purpose of defaming the petitioner.
3. He submits that when a written complaint is given to a public forum, the complainant does not need to prove that the words were said in front of a witness and the filing of frivolous complaint itself amounts to defamation.
4. The learned counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that Respondent No.2 was only a principal of the school and had forwarded the complaints to appropriate forums.
5. He submits that filing a chargesheet against the petitioner



or forwarding a complaint given by one of the co-teachers will not amount to any offence on part of Respondent No.2 as he was only fulfilling his duties being the head of the institution.

6. The parties are at liberty to file short note of their arguments.

7. List for further arguments on 15.10.2024

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2024

'Aman'