



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5029/2021**

AJAY KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yoginder Singh Rohilla along
with Petitioner in – person.

versus

NDMC THROUGH ITS COMMISSIONER

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Versha Singh, Advocate for Ms.
Meenakshi Midha, Advocate R-1.
Mr. Dhanajaya Mishra, Mr. Navneet
Dogra and Mr. Ayan Rai, Advocates
for R-2.
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel for R-3/DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

30.11.2022

1. Ms. Versha Singh, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.1, submits that Ms. Meenakshi Midha, Advocate, is not available today on account of some personal difficulty. A prayer is, therefore, made for a short adjournment.
2. The prayer for adjournment is vehemently opposed by the learned Counsel for the Petitioner.
3. However, in the interest of justice, this Court is of the opinion that when the Ld. Counsel for Respondent No.1 is in some personal difficulty



today, she has to be accommodated at least once. The case is adjourned.

4. List on 13.04.2023.

SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA, CJ

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 30, 2022

S. Zakir