



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5803/2023**

MANOJAN RAJAN AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Ms. Shivali
and Mr. Archit Verma, Advocates.

Mr. Sravan Kumar Karaanam and Mr. Abhiram
Bannur, Advocates also for the Petitioners.

versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA (AKFI)

AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Amit Peswani, Advocate for AKFI.

Mr. Anjuman Tripathy, Advocate for R-4 to R-19.

Mr. Rohan Jaitley, Central Government Standing
Counsel with Mr. Devrat Yadav and Mr. Dev
Pratap Shahi, Advocates for UOI.

Mr. A.Ushi Reddy, Mr. Deepak K. Sing, Mr.
Vaibav Gupta, Mr. Sunny Kumar, Mr. Vijendra
K. Chourasia, Mr. Manoranjan Kumar, Mr. Sujeet
Kumar Gupta and Mr. Sarvinder Sainvi,
Advocates for CM.No.7558/2024
CM.No.7562/2024 CM.No.7566/2024 and
CM.No.1567/2024.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

03.02.2025

%

CM APPL. 6660/2025

1. This is an application preferred on behalf of the applicant/Respondent
No. 1 under Section 151 CPC seeking the following relief:-

*“(a) UOI (Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports) may be directed to take up
the issue of illegal ban with the Asian Kabaddi Federation and the*



International Kabaddi Federation and call upon them to withdraw their illegal decision to disallow Indian players to participate in international tournaments.”

2. Learned counsel appearing on advance copy of the application for Respondents No. 4 to 19 hands over copy of an order dated 27.01.2025 passed by the Supreme Court issuing notice in Writ Petition (Civil) being Diary No. 3721/2025 returnable on 03.02.2025 and submits that a similar issue is pending consideration before the Supreme Court. This submission is refuted by learned Senior Counsel for the applicant.
3. Issue notice.
4. Counsels, as above, accept notice on behalf of the non-applicants.
5. Replies, if any, be filed to the application before the next date of hearing. Additionally, learned counsel for Respondents No. 4 to 19 shall also place on record copy of the writ petition filed before the Supreme Court so as to ascertain if the issues raised in this application are the subject matter of the writ petition filed before the Supreme Court.
6. Needless to state issuance of notice in this application is subject to the parties addressing argument on similarity of the issues in this application and the writ petition before the Supreme Court.
7. List on 19.02.2025.

JYOTI SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 03, 2025/shivam