



\$~41 to 45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 5803/2023 & CM APPLs. 22727/2023, 46380/2023
59612/2023, 4036/2024, 7562/2024, 7564/2024, 7566/2024,
26898/2024, 28761/2024, 28762/2024, 36869/2024 & 36870/2024**

MANOJAN RAJAN AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Vishwendra Verma, Ms. Shivali,
Mr. Ajay Nagar, Mr. Pramod Nagar
and Ms. Riya Kumari, Advocates

Versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA (AKFI) AND
ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate
alongwith Mr. Kunal Prakash and Mr.
Amit, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. A Ushi Reddy Mr. Deepak K
Singh, Mr. Ramu Sangha and Mr.
Kuldeep Sharma, Advocates for
applicant
Mr. Jayant Mehta, Sr. Advocate
alongwith Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with
Mr. Vedansh Anand and Mr. Devvrat
Yadav, Advocates for UOI
Mr. Ninad Laud, Mr. Anjuman
Tripathy and Mr. Karan Mathur,
Advocates for Respondent Nos. 4 to
19

42

+ **W.P.(C) 5980/2023 & CM APPL 23489/2023**

SHANKER LAL YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

Versus



AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate
alongwith Mr. Kunal Prakash and Mr.
Amit, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. Jayant Mehta, Sr. Advocate
alongwith Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with
Mr. Vedansh Anand and Mr. Devvrat
Yadav, Advocates for UOI
Mr. Shantwanu Singh, Advocate for
respondent No.3

43

+ **W.P.(C) 7303/2023 & CM APPL 28386/2023**

DELHI STATE KABADDI ASSOCIATION @ DSKA 1

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shantwanu Singh, Adv.

Versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate
alongwith Mr. Kunal Prakash and Mr.
Amit, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. Jayant Mehta, Sr. Advocate
alongwith Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with
Mr. Vedansh Anand and Mr. Devvrat
Yadav, Advocates for UOI
Mr. Sachin Chopra, Mr. Anuj Tyagi,
Ms. Astha Gupta and Ms. Akshita
Agarwal, Advocates for respondent
No.3

44

+ **W.P.(C) 4870/2024 & CM APPL. 19899/2024**



SHRIRAM RAJU DINANATH BHAVSAR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Rahul Mehra, Sr. Advocate
alongwith Mr. Pal Amit, Ms. Bhavana
Duhoon, Mr. Chaitanya Gosain and
Mr. Kushal Dube, Advocates

Versus

AMETEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA AKFI AND
ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate
alongwith Mr. Kunal Prakash and Mr.
Amit, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. Pavan Narang, Sr. Panel Counsel
alongwith Mr. Devvrat Yadav, G.P.
with Mr. Himanshu Sethi and Ms.
Aishwarya Chhabra, Advocates for
UOI

45

+

W.P.(C) 5630/2024

NAMDEV BABAN SAKPAL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Ms. Vrinda Bhandari and Mr. Rahul
Lakhera, Advocates

Versus

AMATEUR KABADDI FEDERATION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate
alongwith Mr. Kunal Prakash and Mr.
Amit, Advocates for respondent No.1
Mr. Pavan Narang, Sr. Panel Counsel
alongwith Mr. Devvrat Yadav, G.P.
with Mr. Himanshu Sethi and Ms.
Aishwarya Chhabra, Advocates for
UOI



Mr. Ajay Deshpande, Mr. Rana Sandeep and Ms. Arte Sharma,
Advocates for respondent Nos. 3 to 5

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR
KAURAV

ORDER
04.07.2024

%

1. After hearing learned counsel appearing for the respective parties for some time, it is seen that the Division Bench in terms of order dated 07.05.2024 in W.P.(C) 4601/2013 requested for the decision on application filed by the Union of India registered as CM APPL 59612/2023 in W.P.(C) 5803/2023 and also to decide the application filed by the Administrator seeking permission for handing over the control to the elected Executive Committee.
2. There appears to be serious grievance with respect to the manner in which the election has been conducted. The parties are at loggerheads as to whether the directions passed by this Court in two earlier writ petitions have been fully complied with in conduction of the election. On one hand, Mr. Mehra, learned senior counsel who appears on behalf of one of the petitioners submits that there is flagrant violation of the directions passed by the Court in two earlier writ petitions. On the other hand, Mr. Jayant Mehta, learned senior counsel alongwith other learned counsels, who appear on behalf of elected officers, submit that no interference is called for under the facts of the present case. In any case, the moot controversy involved in these writ petitions regarding the election in question and whether the same can be held to have been validly conducted or otherwise, will have to be decided



2024.07.04.19:44

after hearing all the parties.

3. It also appears that in some of the cases, the notices to some of the parties were not directed to be issued. Before taking up the main matter for hearing, it is appropriate to extend the opportunity of hearing to all parties. Accordingly issue notice in all the petitions.

4. The counsels representing the respective parties undertake to file their respective replies within two weeks. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within one week thereafter.

5. Additionally, Mr. Mehta, learned senior counsel submits that insofar as the directions with respect to Union of India is concerned, the same has already been complied with.

6. List on 29.07.2024 for completion of pleadings.

CM APPL 36869/2024

1. Heard.

2. It appears that the prayer made in this application is already subject matter of the main writ petitions which are forming part of the batch in the Court and the same have already been directed for hearing.

3. In view of the aforesaid, no directions are required to be issued and the application appears to be misconceived. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any of the action, he can take recourse to the remedy available as per law.

4. The application is accordingly dismissed.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

JULY 4, 2024

p'ma