



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6771/2022 & CM APPL. 20577/2022**

BHUPESH SEHGAL & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Vandana Sehgal & Mr. Mohit
Yadav, Advs. M: 9810095901

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Anuj Chaturvedi, Ms. Shreya &
Mr. Hafiz, Advs. for R-1.
M: 9810473166
Mr. Kush Sharma, Adv. For DPCC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

10.01.2024

%

1. The status reports filed on behalf of the Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”) as well as Delhi Jal Board (“DJB”) have been returned under objections. Let the objections be removed and the status reports be brought on record.
2. At this stage learned counsels appearing for DDA and DJB submit that the cost imposed vide last order may be waived off, as there was no deliberate delay on their part to file the status reports.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she has no objection if the cost is waived off. In view thereof, the cost of Rs. 5000/- each imposed upon DDA and DJB stands waived off.
4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner refers to the status report



filed on behalf of DDA and submits that as per the said status report, the plot in question has been stated to be as not part of the Green Belt as per the layout plan of the area. However, she refers to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the DDA in *W.P.(C) No. 6662/2011*, wherein the DDA itself has stated that the land in question is being used for purposes of horticulture and that the same is a part of the Green Belt.

5. Learned counsel appearing for DDA is directed to seek clarification with respect to the same.
6. The petitioner is at liberty to file a response to the status reports filed on behalf of DDA and DJB before the next date of hearing.
7. List on 15th April, 2024.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JANUARY 10, 2024/kr