



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 99/2024**

ANURAG VERMA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr Ashish Sehrawat, Mr Kapil
Yadav, Mr S.S. Sehrawat, Ms
Chanchal Bharadwaj, Advocates.

versus

CHANCHAL VERMA

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **28.03.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 18667/2024

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 99/2024

2. This appeal is directed against the judgment dated 30.01.2024 passed by the Family Court.

3. Via impugned judgment, the appellant's divorce petition filed under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been dismissed.

4. The record shows that the couple entered into matrimony on 21.01.2014.

5. We are also told that the couple does not have any progeny from the marriage.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 99/2024

Page 1 of 2



6. The perusal of the impugned judgment shows that one of the causes for disruption of the marriage is the miscarriage suffered by the respondent/wife.
7. The matter needs further examination.
8. Accordingly, issue notice to the respondent *via* all permissible modes including email.
9. List the matter on 14.08.2024.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 28, 2024/rt

Click here to check corrigendum, if any