



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 96/2019**

MUKESH DAYAMA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Y.S. Chauhan, Advocate with
appellant in person.

versus

PRIYA DAYAMA

..... Respondent

Through: Respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

08.05.2019

**MAT.APP.(F.C.) 96/2019 & C.M. No. 14685/2019 (under Order XLI
Rule 27 of CPC by the appellant for production of additional evidence)**

1. Pursuant to the order dated 01.4.2019, the respondent appears in person and states that the Advocate engaged by her, Mr. Harish Kumar is unavailable. She confirms having received a complete set of the paper book.
2. Reply to the interim application shall be filed by the respondent within four weeks with a copy to the learned counsel for the appellant, who may file a rejoinder thereto within two weeks thereafter.
3. Learned counsel for the appellant states that marriage between the parties took place in the year 1997 and both the parties are living separately since 05.2.2006. The appellant is residing in a tenanted premises close to his

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 96/2019

Page 1 of 2



parental home while the respondent is residing with her in-laws. There is no issue from the marriage.

4. We have enquired from both the parties, if they are ready and willing to submit themselves to mediation to negotiate a settlement, to which the appellant states he is agreeable. However, the respondent states that she may be permitted to consult her counsel.

5. List in the category of 'Directions' for reporting instructions on 21.8.2019.

6. The parties shall remain present on the next date of hearing.

HIMA KOHLI, J

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 08, 2019

ap