



\$~21 to 23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3186/2019 with CM APPL. 14594/2019**

M/S UNITECH LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Arora, Advocate

versus

ASSISTANT P. F. COMMISSIONER

DELHI (SOUTH)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Vishnu, Panel Counsel
for EPFO.

22.

+ **W.P.(C) 3187/2019 with CM APPL. 14596/2019**

M/S UNITECH LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Arora, Advocate

versus

ASSISTANT P. F. COMMISSIONER

DELHI (SOUTH)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Vishnu, Panel Counsel
for EPFO.

23.

+ **W.P.(C) 3192/2019 with CM APPL. 14622/2019**

M/S UNITECH LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajiv Arora, Advocate

versus

ASSISTANT P. F. COMMISSIONER

DELHI (SOUTH)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sandeep Vishnu, Panel Counsel
for EPFO.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

1. Once again, a request for an adjournment is made on behalf of the



Petitioner.

2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that he is unable to take appropriate steps in this matter since he is not getting instructions from the new management that is appointed by the Petitioner-company. Learned Counsel further submits that the matter is still pending adjudication before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
3. On a query being put by the Court, as to whether the Petitioner has filed any proceedings before the Hon'ble Supreme Court qua this aspect, the response is in negative.
4. The Petitioner is permitted to take necessary steps in accordance with law to seek instructions in the matter before the next date of hearing, failing which these matters will be dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. List on 27.10.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 7, 2025/PB

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)