



\$~68 to 71 & 87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5579/2023

RINKU

.....Petitioner

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

+ W.P.(C) 7091/2023

AJAY KUMAR

.....Petitioner

versus

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

+ W.P.(C) 7734/2023

VIJAY

.....Petitioner

versus

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

+ W.P.(C) 7779/2023

KARTIK

.....Petitioner

versus

FOOD CORPORATION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

+ W.P.(C) 6197/2023

AJAY MALIK

.....Petitioner

versus

W.P.(C) 5579/2023 & connected matters.

Page 1 of 3



UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Appearances:

Mr. Ankur Chhibber, Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Mr. Anurag Pandey, Mr. Srajan S. Kulshrestha, Advocates for petitioners.
Mr. Om Prakash, Mr. Rajeev Pathak, Mr. Ankur Agnihotri, Advocates for FCI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

30.04.2025

CM APPL. 25726/2025 (for early hearing) in W.P.(C) 5579/2023

CM APPL. 25581/2025 (for early hearing) in W.P.(C) 7091/2023

CM APPL. 25559/2025 (for early hearing) in W.P.(C) 7734/2023

CM APPL. 25530/2025 (for early hearing) in W.P.(C) 7779/2023

CM APPL. 25744/2025 (for early hearing) in W.P.(C) 6197/2023

1. The petitioners have filed these applications for early hearing of the captioned writ petitions, which are presently scheduled to be listed on 01.09.2025. These five writ petitions form part of a larger batch of twelve writ petitions, all of which are scheduled to be listed together.
2. The writ petitions concern a recruitment exercise undertaken by the respondent No.2 – Food Corporation of India [“Corporation”], commencing with an advertisement issued in the year 2019. The petitioners’ candidatures have been cancelled by the respondent No. 2 – Corporation on allegations of malpractice in the recruitment examination. This will evidently require a detailed factual and legal examination.
3. The writ petitions, which are listed in the category of “*After Notice Miscellaneous*” matters, are being listed chronologically, so that older



petitions are heard first on the date fixed, subject to specific directions for expedition of particular cases. In view of the pendency of several older writ petitions, I am of the view that these writ petitions should be heard alongwith the rest of the batch on the date fixed, i.e. 01.09.2025.

4. The applications are, therefore, dismissed.

APRIL 30, 2025
'Bhupi/SD'/

PRATEEK JALAN, J