



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3077/2023 & CRL.M.A. 11550/2023

RAJU BISTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajay Burman, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shrey Sharawat and Mr. Anand
Awasthi, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

25.03.2026

7. In the order dated 25.02.2026, it is inadvertently mentioned that arguments have been concluded on behalf of the State. However, the arguments were concluded on behalf of the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the order dated 25.02.2026 is rectified and the same shall henceforth be read as under:-

- “1. Arguments have been concluded on behalf of the petitioner.*
- 2. List for further arguments on 25.03.2026.*
- 3. Interim orders, if any, to continue.*
- 4. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.”*

9. The FSL Report of the CCTV footage has not yet been received. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing.

10. List on 22.05.2026.

11. Interim orders, if any, to continue.



12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 25, 2026/rr/R