



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAC.APP. 47/2016**

SHRI RAM GENERAL INS CO LTD Appellant
Through: **Mr. P. Acharya, Adv.**
versus

**JAGDISH & ORS (ICICI LOMBARD
GENERAL INSUANCE CO LTD)** Respondents
Through:

CORAM:

SH. RAVINDER SINGH (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER
% **22.01.2018**

CM. No.2472/2018

By way of this application under Order V Rule 20 CPC, the appellant seeks service of respondent no.2 by substituted mode.

It is submitted by learned counsel for appellant that the appellant has unable to serve the notice of this appeal upon the respondent no.2 through ordinary mode as the process of respondent no.2 received back unserved from his last known address with the report “*left the given address*”.

Ld. counsel for appellant stated that inspite of best efforts, fresh address of respondent no.2 could not be traced out.

Having heard the learned counsel for appellant and considering the averments made by appellant in the application supported by her affidavit and also having regard to the report of the registry, I am satisfied that respondent no.2 cannot be served by ordinary mode of the notice of this appeal. Therefore, the application is hereby allowed.

MAC.APP. 47/2016

1/2



It is directed that respondent no.2 be now served by way of publication in the daily newspaper “*Rashtriya Sahara*” (Hindi) Delhi edition, on filing of process fee. Application stands disposed of.

Notice for Publication be given *dasti* to ld. counsel for appellant.

Proof of publication be filed prior to date fixed.

List on 10.04.2018.

The date already fixed i.e. 09.02.2018 stands cancelled.

Notice of appeal be also issued to respondent no.6 in terms of order dated 14.11.2017 for his appearance on 10.04.2018.

RAVINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

JANUARY 22, 2018

dsn

MAC.APP. 47/2016

2/2