



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 255/2024**

ANIL GIANCHANDANI Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vidit Gupta and Mr.
Chetan, Advs. along with
plaintiff in person.

versus

UTTAM CHAND Defendant

Through: None

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SHUCHI
LALER (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
12.09.2024

Case file taken up today on the below mentioned IA:-

IA No. 39296/2024 u/O V R.20 CPC

1. Heard. Record perused. Summons could not be served upon defendant as he is not residing at the address on record.
2. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that the plaintiff has no other address of defendant. In the opinion of the court, defendant cannot be served through ordinary process.
3. Accordingly, the application is allowed. Let service be affected on defendant by way of publication in the national daily newspaper "Times of India" and "Navbharat Times" Delhi Edition where defendant last resided on filing of process fee and on deposit of publication charges, returnable for next date.
4. The application stands disposed off.



5. List the matter on date already fixed i.e. 20.12.2024.

**SHUCHI LALER (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
SEPTEMBER 12, 2024/cd**

Click here to check corrigendum, if any