



\$~61

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 4817/2026, CM APPL. 23578/2026, CM APPL. 23579/2026, CM APPL. 23580/2026 and CM APPL. 24716/2026

MD. KARIMUNNISAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Kaustubh Anshuraj,
Mr. Parmod Kalirana, Mr.
Manish Choudhary, Mr. Amaya
Vaid, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA
THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. N. Venkataraman, Sr. Adv.
with Mr. Namit Saxena, Adv.
along with Mr Mudit Garg,
General Manager (CO) for
NHAI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **16.04.2026**

1. Learned Senior Counsel representing the Petitioner has been heard at length.

2. When learned Senior Counsel representing the Respondents started his submissions, he produced a tabulated compilation reflecting that the present toll collection at New Toll Plaza has grown by leaps and bounds. In the month of April 2025, the ETC collection was Rs. 2,31,734/-, whereas it rose to Rs. 5,22,521/- in the month of August 2025, while the Petitioner was paying a license fee @ Rs. 2,58,737/- per day. Subsequently, the collection increased to



Rs. 9,37,590/- against the payment of fee @ Rs. 2,58,737/- per day.

3. A copy of the said compilation has been supplied to learned Senior Counsel representing the Petitioner, who is granted an opportunity to respond thereto.

4. The Respondents are also given opportunity to explain why the period of 10 months out of one year was taken to invoke Clause 35(6) of the Contract.

5. List on 17.04.2026 in the “Supplementary List”.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

APRIL 16, 2026/sp/ad