



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 106/2023 & CM Nos.61829-30/2023**

MRS. SUKHMANI KAUR OBEROI Appellant

Through: Mr Praveen Kumar, Mr Anand
Prakash, Mr Chaman Prakash, Ms
Garima, Mr Niraj Pandey and Mr
Navneet Prabhakar, Adv.

versus

CHANPREET SINGH BAMMI Respondent

Through: Mr Sameer Rohatgi, Mr Namit Suri,
Ms Purnima Singh and Mr Arjun
Kaushal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

22.04.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Learned counsel for the respondent/husband submits that they could examine the possibility of parting ways.
2. Mr Praveen Kumar, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the appellant/wife, says that if such a step is taken, the respondent/husband will have to make a financial offer which allows the appellant to live with dignity and status she was used to prior to the disruption of marital life.
3. From the wedlock, the couple has a male-child who is about 6 years old. We are told that the appellant/wife and the child are presently located in Los Angeles. The respondent's/husband's family, on the other hand, is running a petrol pump in Delhi.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 106/2023

page 1 of 2



4. Presently, the appellant/wife is aggrieved by the fact that the coordinate Bench, has reduced the interim maintenance granted by the Family Court *via* order dated 05.09.2022 from Rs.50,000/- to Rs.25,000/- and that too, only for the male-child.
5. List the matter on 13.05.2024.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 22, 2024

aj

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 106/2023

page 2 of 2