



\$~10

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 267/2001

**SURESH SGARODIA**

..... Decree Holder

Through: Mr Harpreet Singh, Adv

versus

**OM PARKASH**

..... Judgement Debtor

Through: Mr Satish Pandey, Adv for JD No1.

Mr Rajesh Kumar, Adv for JD NO.2

Ms Shobhana Takiar, Adv for DDA

**CORAM:**

**DR. SATINDER KUMAR GAUTAM (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

%

**18.01.2017**

Learned counsel for the decree holder submits that this case is not liable to be transferred since the part payment has been paid by judgement debtor No.1 in terms of his undertaking and he will also ensured to pay the remaining balance amount. One cheque has been bounced and one is cleared and further submits that he may be deposit the said cheque for its clearance or either may be given through demand draft in favour of the decree holder and decree holder also required to release the amount deposited in the Court.

Learned counel for Judgement debtor No.1 submits that he is ready to pay the remaining balance amount within two weeks time as well as also talk with decree holder directly with judgement debtor No.1 for redeposit the uncleared cheque for its clearance or judgement debtor No.1 will make the payment through bank draft.



Keeping in view of the submission, let the remaining balance payment be cleared by judgement debtor No.1 within two weeks time as per the assurance and undertaking in favour of decree holder.

Renotify this matter on 07.03.2017 for reporting of balance payment.

**DR SATINDER KUMAR GAUTAM (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JANUARY 18, 2017**  
SK