



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 803/2018**

DEEPAK NAGPAL & ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: **Dr. Charu Mathur, Advocate.**

versus

**AIESL (AIR INDIA ENGINEERING
SERVICES LIMITED) & ANR**

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Saloni Jagga, Advocate for R-
1.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **08.10.2025**

1. By order dated 01.05.2023, the statement of Dr. Charu Mathur, learned counsel for the petitioners, was recorded as follows:

“At this stage, learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that two of the three Petitioners have been accorded seniority and the grievances stand redressed while Petitioner No.1’s grievance is still unredressed.”

2. The respondents were granted an opportunity to take instructions. According to learned counsel for the respondent No. 1, all three petitioners have been accorded promotion to the post of Deputy General Manager. As far as petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are concerned, Dr. Mathur does not press the writ petition as the grievances stand addressed.

3. In view of the above statement, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, as far as petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are concerned. Amended memo of parties may be filed within one week from today.

4. As far as petitioner No. 1 is concerned, Dr. Mathur submits that the



grievance, with regard to grant of certain financial benefits, survives.

5. To argue the matter on behalf of petitioner No.1, Dr. Mathur sought a passover. However, on the second call, she is not present.

6. In the interest of justice, list on 10.12.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 8, 2025

SS/AD/