



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4183/2021 & CM APPL. 12729/2021**

ANIL KUMAR MALHOTRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. D.K. Mehta & Mr. Rishabh
Mehta, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Mrinalini Sen, SC for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

11.05.2023

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Ms. Mrinalini Sen, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent/DDA, submits that she has not received the copy of the Rejoinder.

1.1 Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner is directed to supply a copy of the same to Ms. Sen within two days.

2. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that in order to put the *quietus* to the dispute, the Petitioner is willing to pay the difference/balance between the payments made by him to the Respondent with respect to the shops in dispute and the reserve price of the shops as below:

S. No.	Shop Number	Price Paid	Reserve Price	Difference/balance
1.	Shop No.14	Rs.35,03,554/-	Rs.41,52,151/-	Rs.6,48,597/-
2.	Shop No.16	Rs.45,54,842/-	Rs.53,24,154/-	Rs.7,69,312/-
Total Difference				Rs.14,17,909/-

W.P.(C) 4183/2021

Page 1 of 2



- 2.1 The difference in payment for both the shops comes to Rs.14,17,909/-
3. Learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent/ DDA submits that she will return with instructions.
4. List the matter along with W.P.(C) 2754/2021 on 05.09.2023.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 11, 2023/ ha

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

W.P.(C) 4183/2021

Page 2 of 2