



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 383/2024**

SAURABH KUMAR SINGH

. Petitioner

Through: Mr.Prashant Ghai, Ms.Simantini Paul,
Mr.Yasharth Jayant Lal, Mr.Rohan
Aggarwal and Mr.P.Jalan, Advocates

versus

PRATIBHA SINGH AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

ORDER

% **19.03.2024**

CRL.M.A. 8656/2024

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.REV.P. 383/2024 & CRL.M.A. 8655/2024

1. Criminal Revision Petition under Section 397 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioner for setting aside order dated 09.02.2024 passed by learned Judge, Family Courts, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi whereby the Respondent Evidence was closed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though there was no report of the process server on record qua service of witnesses, respondent Evidence was closed by learned trial court putting up the burden of service of summons upon the petitioner. It is also pointed out that there were no directions for serving the summons *dasti*.



3. Issue notice to respondents by all permissible modes on necessary steps being taken by learned counsel for the petitioner. Summons may also be served dasti on the respondents on the next date of hearing fixed before the learned trial court.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances, learned Trial Court is directed to fix the date for final arguments beyond the date fixed by this court.

List on 10.04.2024.

A copy this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information and compliance.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.

MARCH 19, 2024/v