



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 209/2023**

AJAY KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: **Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate.**

versus

NAJENDRA SINGH & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Navneet Goyal, Advocate for R-2.**

Mr. J.P.N. Shahi, Advocate for Insurance Company.

Mr. Ravi Sabharwal, Mr. Vivan Garg, Advocates for Oriental Insurance Co.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

%

06.11.2025

1. Although the present appeal seeking enhancement of compensation was partly allowed by judgment dated 13.05.2025, the said judgment was subsequently recalled by order dated 21.08.2025, on the ground that respondent No.2 (the owner of the offending vehicle), had not been served despite recovery rights having been directed against it.
2. For similar reasons, notice is now required to be issued to respondent No.1 as well, who is stated to be the driver of the offending vehicle.
3. Notice be issued to respondent No.1 by all permissible modes,



including through learned counsel who had appeared on behalf of the said respondent before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal.

4. List before the learned Registrar on 03.12.2025 for completion of service and pleadings.
5. List before the Court on 25.03.2026.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025

'Bhupi/sd'/'