



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 234/2024**

WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC. & ORS.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Suhasini Raina, Mr.
Akshat Agarwal, Mr. Raghav
Goyal, Mr. Ayush Saxena &
Ms. Priyanka Jaiswal, Advs.
(M- 7862887964)

versus

DOODSTREAM.COM & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Asutosh Lohia, Mr.
Sharan Mehta, Mr. Mohd.
Atif Abdullah Khan & Mr.
Venkatesh Mohanraj, Advs.
(through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

15.07.2025

List the matter on **08.09.2025**.

**IA No. 11282/2025 (u/o 8 Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC r/w
Section 5 Limitation Act by defendants to condone 87 days
delay in filing rejoinder to the reply filed by the plaintiff)**

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submitted that he does not have any objection, if the application is allowed. There is a delay of 87 days in filing rejoinder to the reply filed by the plaintiff. The application is allowed, subject to the costs of Rs. 2,000/- to be payable to the Delhi High Court Library Fund.



**IA No. 36817/2024 (u/o 8 Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC r/w
Section 5 of the Limitation Act by defendants to condone delay
in filing written statement)**

Rejoinder of the defendant is under objection. Same be supplied to the other party. Ld. counsel for the defendant is also directed to remove the objections as per law.

Both the parties are directed to file in writing their submissions in respect to delay in filing of written statement with relevant dates. Same be filed within two weeks.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JULY 15, 2025/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any