



\$~19

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **CS(COMM) 234/2024, I.A. 6317/2024 I.A. 6322/2024**  
**WARNER BROS. ENTERTAINMENT INC. & ORS.**

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Saikrishna Rajagopal, Ms. Suhasini R., Ms. R. Ramya, Mr. Raghav Goyal, Ms. M. Sidhu and Mr. Ayush Saxena, Advocates.

versus

**DOODSTREAM.COM & ORS.**

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. K.S. Elangovan, Mr. Venkatsh Mohanraj, Advocates.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**  
**08.04.2024**

%

1. Pursuant to the previous order dated 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024, counsel for plaintiff informs that the directions in para 14 of the said order are not being complied with by the defendants.
2. Documents in that regard have been filed along with applications I.A. 7911/2024 (seeking leave to file documents in a cd/pen-drive) and I.A. 7912/2024 (Exemption), both of which applications are allowed. Accordingly, leave to file the pen-drive for the voluminous documents and the exemption is granted.
3. Convenience volume of the documents showing non-compliance under the affidavit of Mr. Nikhil Kumar Gakhar dated 05<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 has been handed-up to the Court. In that, it has been pointed out that pursuant to the Court's order, not only is the infringing content available on defendants'



site but also the '**download link (protected)**' is still appearing, which was directed to be disabled.

4. In response, counsel for the defendants has placed a Statement of Compliance dated 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2024, stating that they have complied with the order and placed on record certain technical aspects relating to the allegations of the plaintiffs. Response to the said statement of compliance filed by the defendant dated 07<sup>th</sup> April, 2024 be filed by the plaintiff prior to the date of hearing, with the copy to the opposite counsel.

5. Considering that these are technical aspects which need to be understood, both for the purposes of plaintiff's allegation of non-compliance and defendant's response of compliance, the following directions are considered appropriate in this regard:

- (i) The matter be placed before the Joint Registrar, who will take assistance of Director and Joint Director of the Delhi High Court IT Cell, to consider the allegations of the plaintiff and the response of the defendants (as placed on record) regarding directions in the order dated 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2024, that are still not being complied with by the defendants. This may require the plaintiffs and the defendants to show the content on the platform to the Joint Registrar (and the IT Team). The matter be listed before the Joint Registrar on 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024. Joint Registrar may carry over the deliberation to a date proximate thereto, if it is required for proper analysis and report in this regard.
- (ii) Plaintiff's technical person Mr. Gakhar, who has signed the affidavit, will also be present and participate in the deliberations.
- (iii) Considering that Mr. Raja Durai, defendant No.5 and Mr. Sarvesh Chandran, defendant No.6 proprietors of the defendants' website



are technical persons who are aware of the matters of the defendants' platform (as informed by the counsel for the defendants), they shall be present before the Joint Registrar on 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2024.

6. List before the Court on 09<sup>th</sup> May, 2024.
7. Interim orders to continue.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.
9. Copy of this order be also sent to Director and Joint Director of the Delhi High Court IT Cell.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**APRIL 8, 2024/MR**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*