



\$~84 & 104

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2159/2024 and CRL.M.A. 8376/2024**

DOLLY GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aniket Jain, Ms. Serena Sharma
& Mr. Vidyut Kayarkar, Advocates.

versus

MLP DEVELOPERS AND PROMOTERS PVT LTD
& ORS.

..... Respondents

Through:

+ **CRL.M.C. 2221/2024 and CRL.M.A. 8613/2024**

SARTHAK GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Aniket Jain, Ms. Serena Sharma
& Mr. Vidyut Kayarkar, Advocates.

versus

MLP DEVELOPERS AND PROMOTERS PVT LTD
& ORS.

..... Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

18.03.2024

%

1. Counsel for Petitioners, at the outset, states that in application seeking condonation of delay, it was brought to the notice of Court that Applicant was suffering from Back-ache issues and medical documents were also annexed. However, the learned Trial Court did not take notice of this fact despite setting out in the petition.

2. Having perused the petition, the Court does not find any averment that the issue of medical health was argued before the Court.

CRL.M.C. 2159/2024 & 2221/2024

Page 1 of 2



3. Let an affidavit be filed by Petitioners to this effect within two weeks from today.
4. List on 15.05.2024.

MARCH 18, 2024
B.S. Rohella

JYOTI SINGH, J

CRL.M.C. 2159/2024 & 2221/2024

Page 2 of 2