



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 375/2022**

MAIN SADAR BAZAR TRADERS ASSOCIATION REGD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nikhil Singhvi, Mr. Shikhar
Garg, Advocates

versus

KANHAIYA LAL YADAV & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Udit Malik, ASC for Respondents
No.1-3 with Mr. Kanhaiya Lal, SHO,
Sadar Bazar and Mr. Vijay Panwar,
ASI
Mr. Mukesh Gupta, Standing Counsel
for North MCD/R-4

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **05.04.2022**

CM APPL. 16957/2022 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 375/2022

1. The instant contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 23.05.2017, passed by this Court in W.P.(C) No. 11645/2016.
2. It is stated that *vide* order dated 23.05.2017, this Court had directed the Respondents herein to keep the entire Sadar Bazar market place free of encroachments and, despite the said order, there are regular encroachments in the said area.

CONT.CAS(C) 375/2022

Page 1 of 2



3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that repeated contempt petitions have been filed regarding the issue of encroachment and that once the contempt petitions are disposed of, the encroachment resumes. He states that these activities are being conducted with the active connivance of the Respondents.
4. Issue notice.
5. Mr. Udit Malik, learned ASC for Respondents No.1 to 3, accepts notice and seeks time to file a reply.
6. Let the reply indicate the measures that the Respondents intend to take to regularly monitor the area and to keep the said area free of encroachments.
7. Mr. Mukesh Gupta, learned Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.4, accepts notice and submits that there are no allegations against Respondent No.4. Be that as it may, let reply be filed by the Respondent No.4 as well.
8. List on 20.07.2022.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

APRIL 5, 2022

Rahul