



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 176/2021 & I.A. 4229/2021 (u/O-XXXIX R-1 & 2 of CPC),
I.A. 5201/2021 (u/O-XXXIX R-2A of CPC), I.A. 5202/2021 (u/S 151
CPC), I.A. 6329/2021 (u/O-XXXIX R-1 & 2 of CPC), I.A. 625/2022
(u/O-XXXIX R-2A of CPC), CRL.M.A. 1536/2022 (of the defendant
no.1 u/S 340 of CrPC)

PRITPAL SINGH CHANNA & ANR. Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Ashish Upadhayay with Mr.
Pradeep Mishra, Advocates.

versus

LALIT SUMAN & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Vijay Gaur with Mr. Naveen,
Proxy counsels for Mr. Amit Kumar,
Main counsel for D-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **13.07.2022**

1. An adjournment is sought on behalf of the counsel appearing for the defendant no.1, on account of non-availability of the main counsel.
2. Record of the case shows that on 25th January, 2022, an adjournment was sought on the ground of non-availability of the counsel for the defendant no.1.
3. On 9th March, 2022, the matter was adjourned as the reply filed on behalf of the defendants was not on record.
4. Again on 20th April, 2022, the matter was adjourned as the reply was filed only three days before the date of hearing, leaving insufficient time for



the plaintiff to file rejoinder.

5. Today once again, an adjournment is sought on behalf of the counsel for the defendant no.1. This request is strongly opposed by the counsel for the plaintiff.

6. It appears to the Court that deliberate tactics are being adopted on behalf of the defendant no.1 to delay the matter. Therefore, the matter is adjourned to 2nd September, 2022, subject to payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- by the defendant no.1 to the plaintiff. It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be given on the said date.

AMIT BANSAL, J.

JULY 13, 2022

sat